

Inter-agency Global Evaluation of RH Services for Refugees and IDPs

Component 1

Review of Literature: Evaluation of
RH Services for Refugees and IDPs

Introduction

- Small number of evaluation/assessment reports
- Supports the need for present global evaluation

Assessment/Evaluation Countries

- Republic of Guinea (1998, refugees, IRC)
- Tanzania (1998, refugees, UNHCR, NPA)
- Ethiopia (1999, refugees, UNFPA)
- Kenya (2001, refugees, UNHCR)
- Angola (2001, IDPs, RHRC)
- Zambia (2001, refugees, WC/RHRC)

Assessment/Evaluation Countries

- Colombia (2001, IDPs, MSI/WC/RHRC)
- DRC (2002, IDPs, JSI/RHRC)
- East Timor (2002, IDPs, RHRC)
- Pakistan (2002-03, Refugees, WC)
- Sri Lanka (2002, IDPs, MSI/RHRC)
- Russia (Moscow, 2002, refugees, UNHCR)

Components of RH Services Assessed/Evaluated

- family planning
- safe motherhood
- STD/HIV/AIDS
- sexual and gender violence
- MISP/RH Kits

Assessment/Evaluation Methods

- interviews
- FGD
- health facility visits
- observation of service provision
- record/register reviews
- exit interviews with clients

Findings

- Family planning services:
 - Variations in services relevant to:
 - availability of methods
 - abilities and skills of service providers
 - use of services

Findings

- Safe motherhood services:
 - good range of SM services at most refugee sites
 - services generally well used
 - better indicators and outcomes for mothers and newborns
 - limited ranged of SM services for IDPs

Findings

- STD/HIV/AIDS services:
 - Variations relevant to:
 - Availability of drugs
 - Skills of service providers
 - Partner notification and treatment
 - Condom distribution
 - Supplies for universal precautions

Findings

- Sexual and gender violence services:
 - often not available
 - lack well defined protocols, practices, procedures
 - tendency to focus on victims of rape
 - efforts made to establish clear systems, roles, responsibilities

Conclusions

- Generally favorable impression of RH services for refugees:
 - **SMaabbb**
 - **FP aaa**
 - **STD/HIV/AIDSaa**
 - **SGVb**

Conclusions

- Much less favorable impression of RH services for IDPs:
 - severely lacking
 - in need of urgent attention